

**CITY OF FRESNO – ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
FINDING OF CONFORMITY / MEIR SCH No. 2012111015**

Pursuant to Section 21157.1 of the California Public Resource Code (California Environmental Quality Act) the project described below is determined to be within the scope of the Master Environmental Impact Report (MEIR) SCH No. 2012111015 prepared for the new Fresno General Plan Update adopted by the Fresno City Council on December 18, 2014.

**DATE RECEIVED FOR
FILING:**

Filed with the Fresno
County Clerk's office on
March 6, 2015

Applicant:

Elias Saliba
Aesthetics Designs
4668 West Pine Avenue
Fresno, CA 93706

Initial Study Prepared By:

McKencie Contreras, Planner III
March 6, 2015

Environmental Assessment Number:
ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088

Project Location (including APN):

3015, 3028, and 3060 South Cherry Avenue; Located on the southeast and southwest corners of the intersection of South Cherry and East North Avenues in the City and County of Fresno, California

APN 329-180-08 (County): Site Latitude: 36°41'30.879" N,
Site Longitude: 119°46'56.118" W

APN 329-190-21s (City): Site Latitude: 36°41'28.248" N,
Site Longitude: 119°46'48.4314" W

Mount Diablo Base & Meridian, Township 14S Range 20E,
Section 27

Project Description: Elias Saliba of Aesthetics Designs, on behalf of Gurudwara Nanak Sar, a California Nonprofit Religious Corporation, has filed Annexation Application No. ANX-13-001, Rezone Application No. R-13-010, and Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-13-088 pertaining to approximately 6.51 total acres of property located on the southeast and southwest corners of the intersection of South Cherry and East North Avenues. Rezone Application No. R-13-010 proposes to amend the Official Zone Map to prezone an approximately 0.97 acre portion of the subject site located on the southwest corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues from the AL-20 (*Limited 20-Acre Agricultural [Fresno County]*) zone district to the M-3/UGM (*Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management*) zone district. Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-13-088 proposes the construction of an approximately 43,745 square foot religious facility on the approximately 5.54 acre portion of the subject site generally located on the southeast corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues; and, the construction of approximately 9,088 square feet of building area (office and storage spaces to serve the religious facility) and a temporary ponding basin on the approximately 0.97 acre portion of the subject site located on the southwest corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues. Annexation Application No. ANX-13-001 proposes annexation of the approximately 0.97 acre portion of the subject site located on the southwest corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues to the City of Fresno and detachment from the Fresno County Fire Protection District and Kings River Conservation District; these actions are under the jurisdiction of the Fresno Local Agency Formation Commission.

Conformance to Master Environmental Impact Report (MEIR) SCH No. 2012111015 prepared for the new Fresno General Plan Update adopted by the Fresno City Council on December 18, 2014:

The subject site on the southeast corner is zoned R-A and is not consistent with the Heavy Industrial planned land use designation of the Fresno General Plan, the Edison Community Plan, and the North Avenue Industrial Triangle Specific Plan. However, the subject site was zoned prior to the Local Planning and Procedures Ordinance of the City of Fresno, therefore consistency is not required (per Fresno Municipal Code Section 12-607). The project is consistent with the existing R-A zone district and the proposed M-3 zoning. The proposed M-3 zoning is consistent with the planned land use of Heavy Industrial as designated by the new Fresno General Plan Update adopted by the Fresno City Council on December 18, 2014; the Edison Community Plan; and the North Avenue Industrial Triangle Specific Plan.


The Development and Resource Management Department staff has prepared an Initial Study (See Attached "Appendix G To Analyze Subsequent Project Identified In MEIR No. SCH No. 2012111015/Initial Study") to evaluate the proposed applications in accordance with the land use and environmental policies and provisions of lead agency City of Fresno's General Plan adopted by the Fresno City Council on December 18, 2014 and the related MEIR SCH No. 2012111015. The proposed applications will not facilitate an additional intensification of uses beyond that which would be allowed by the above-noted zoning and planned land use designation. Moreover, it is not expected that the future development will adversely impact existing city service systems or the traffic circulation system that serves the subject property. These infrastructure findings have been verified by the Public Works and Public Utilities Departments. It has been further determined that all applicable mitigation measures of the MEIR have been applied to the project necessary to assure that the project will not cause significant adverse cumulative impacts, growth inducing impacts, and irreversible significant effects beyond those identified by the MEIR as provided by CEQA Guidelines Section 15177(b)(3).

Pursuant to Section 21157.1 of the California Public Resources Code (California Environmental Quality Act), it may be determined that a subsequent project falls within the scope of the MEIR, provided that the project does not cause additional significant impacts on the environment that were not previously examined by the MEIR. Relative to this specific project proposal, the environmental impacts noted in the MEIR, pursuant to the Fresno General Plan land use designation, include impacts associated with the above mentioned planned land use designation specified for the subject sites. Based on this Initial Study, the following findings are made: (1) The proposed project was identified as a Subsequent Project in the MEIR because its, location, land use designation and permissible densities and intensities are set forth in Exhibit B of the Fresno General Plan; (2) The proposed project is fully within the scope of the MEIR because it will not generate additional significant effects on the environment not previously examined and analyzed by the MEIR and Air Quality MND for the reasons set forth in the Initial Study; and (3) other than identified below, there are no new or additional mitigation measures or alternatives required.

In addition, after conducting a review of the adequacy of the MEIR pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21157.6(b)(1), the Development and Resource Management Department, as lead

agency, finds that no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the MEIR was certified and that no new information, which was not known and could not have been known at the time that the MEIR was certified as complete, has become available. Moreover, as lead agency for this project, the Development and Resource Management Department, per Section 15177(d) of the CEQA Guidelines, has determined that all feasible mitigation measures from the MEIR shall be applied to the project as conditions of approval as set forth in the attached MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist (See "Master Environmental Impact Report (MEIR) SCH No. 2012111015 for the General Plan, Mitigation Monitoring Checklist".)

Public notice has been provided regarding staff's finding in the manner prescribed by Section 15177(d) of the CEQA Guidelines and by Section 21092 of the California Public Resources Code (CEQA provisions).



Sophia Pagoulatos, Supervising Planner
City of Fresno

3/6/15

Date

Attachments: Notice of Intent to Adopt a Finding of Conformity
Vicinity Map
Appendix G To Analyze Subsequent Project Identified In MEIR No. SCH No. 2012111015/Initial Study for Environmental Assessment No. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088
MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist for Environmental Assessment No. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088

Notice of Intent

<p align="center">CITY OF FRESNO</p> <p align="center">NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A FINDING OF CONFORMITY</p>	<p>Filed with: FRESNO COUNTY CLERK 2221 Kern Street, Fresno, CA 93721</p>
<p align="center">PROJECT TITLE & ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT</p> <p>EA No. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088 for Annexation Application No. ANX-13-001, Rezone Application No. R-13-010, and Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-13-088</p>	
<p>APPLICANT: Elias Saliba Aesthetics Designs 4668 West Pine Avenue Fresno, CA 93706</p>	
<p>PROJECT LOCATION: 3015, 3028, and 3060 South Cherry Avenue; Located on the southeast and southwest corners of the intersection of South Cherry and East North Avenues in the City and County of Fresno, California</p> <p>APN 329-180-08 (County): Site Latitude: 36°41'30.879" N, Site Longitude: 119°46'56.118" W APN 329-190-21s (City): Site Latitude: 36°41'28.248" N, Site Longitude: 119°46'48.4314" W Mount Diablo Base & Meridian, Township 14S Range 20E, Section 27</p>	
<p>PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Elias Saliba of Aesthetics Designs, on behalf of Gurudwara Nanak Sar, a California Nonprofit Religious Corporation, has filed Annexation Application No. ANX-13-001, Rezone Application No. R-13-010, and Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-13-088 pertaining to approximately 6.51 total acres of property located on the southeast and southwest corners of the intersection of South Cherry and East North Avenues. Rezone Application No. R-13-010 proposes to amend the Official Zone Map to prezone an approximately 0.97 acre portion of the subject site located on the southwest corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues from the AL-20 (<i>Limited 20-Acre Agricultural [Fresno County]</i>) zone district to the M-3/UGM (<i>Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management</i>) zone district. Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-13-088 proposes the construction of an approximately 43,745 square foot religious facility on the approximately 5.54 acre portion of the subject site generally located on the southeast corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues; and, the construction of approximately 9,088 square feet of building area (office and storage spaces to serve the religious facility) and a temporary ponding basin on the approximately 0.97 acre portion of the subject site located on the southwest corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues. Annexation Application No. ANX-13-001 proposes annexation of the approximately 0.97 acre portion of the subject site located on the southwest corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues to the City of Fresno and detachment from the Fresno County Fire Protection District and</p>	

Kings River Conservation District; these actions are under the jurisdiction of the Fresno Local Agency Formation Commission.

The subject site on the southeast corner is zoned R-A and is not consistent with the Heavy Industrial planned land use designation of the Fresno General Plan, the Edison Community Plan, and the North Avenue Industrial Triangle Specific Plan. However, the subject site was zoned prior to the Local Planning and Procedures Ordinance of the City of Fresno, therefore consistency is not required (per Fresno Municipal Code Section 12-607). The project is consistent with the existing R-A zone district and the proposed M-3 zoning. The proposed M-3 zoning is consistent with the planned land use of Heavy Industrial as designated by the new Fresno General Plan Update adopted by the Fresno City Council on December 18, 2014; the Edison Community Plan; and the North Avenue Industrial Triangle Specific Plan.

The City of Fresno has conducted an initial study of the above-described project and it has been determined to be a subsequent project that may not be fully within the scope of the Master Environmental Impact Report (MEIR) SCH No. 2012111015 prepared for the new Fresno General Plan Update adopted by the Fresno City Council on December 18, 2014. Therefore, the Development and Resource Management Department proposes to adopt a Finding of Conformity for this project.

With mitigation imposed, there is no substantial evidence in the record that this project may have additional significant, direct, indirect or cumulative effects on the environment that are significant and that were not identified and analyzed in the MEIR. After conducting a review of the adequacy of the MEIR pursuant to Public Resources Code, Section 21157.6(b)(1), the Development and Resource Management Department, as lead agency, finds that no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the MEIR was certified and that no new information, which was not known and could not have been known at the time that the MEIR was certified as complete has become available. The project is not located on a site which is included on any of the lists enumerated under Section 65962.5 of the Government Code including, but not limited to, lists of hazardous waste facilities, land designated as hazardous waste property, hazardous waste disposal sites and others, and the information in the Hazardous Waste and Substances Statement required under subdivision (f) of that Section.


Additional information on the proposed project, including the proposed environmental Finding of Conformity, initial study and all documents and technical studies referenced in the initial study, as well as electronic copies of documents, may be obtained from the Development and Resource Management Department, Fresno City Hall, 2600 Fresno Street, Third Floor-North, Room 3076, Fresno, California 93721 3604. Please contact McKencie Contreras at (559) 621-8066 for more information.

ANY INTERESTED PERSON may comment on the proposed environmental finding. Comments must be in writing and must state (1) the commentor's name and address; (2) the commentor's interest in, or relationship to, the project; (3) the environmental determination being commented upon; and (4) the specific reason(s) why the proposed environmental determination should or should not be made. Comments may be submitted at any time between the publication date of this notice and close of business on April 7, 2015. Please direct all comments to McKencie Contreras, City of Fresno Development and Resource Management Department, City Hall, 2600 Fresno Street, Third Floor-North, Room 3076, Fresno, California, 93721-3604; or by email,

McKencie.Contreras@fresno.gov; or by facsimile, (559) 498 1026. Para información en español, comuníquese con McKencie Contreras al teléfono (559) 621-8066.

INITIAL STUDY PREPARED BY:
McKencie Contreras
Planner III

SUBMITTED BY:



Sophia Pagoulatos, Supervising Planner
CITY OF FRESNO DEVELOPMENT &
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DEPT

DATE: March 6, 2015

E201510000066

Vicinity Map

VICINITY MAP



Subject Sites


..... City Limits

DEVELOPMENT AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT

ANNEXATION APPLICATION NO.
ANX-13-001, REZONE APPLICATION
NO. R-13-010, AND CONDITIONAL USE
PERMIT NO. C-13-088

PROPERTY ADDRESS

3015, 3028, & 3060 South Cherry Avenue



Not To Scale

APN: 329-180-08 (County) & 329-19021s (City)

Zone Districts:

329-180-08 (County): AL-20 (*Limited 20-Acre Agricultural [Fresno County]*) to the M-3/UGM (*Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management*) zone district

329-190-21s (City): R-A (*Single Family Residential-Agricultural/Urban Growth Management*) zone district

By: M.Contreras, January 12, 2015

Appendix G

**APPENDIX G TO ANALYZE
SUBSEQUENT PROJECT IDENTIFIED IN MEIR SCH No. 2012111015/INITIAL
STUDY**

Environmental Checklist Form for:

EA No. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088

1. Project title:

Annexation Application No. ANX-13-001;
Rezone Application No. R-13-010; and,
Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-13-088

2. Lead agency name and address:

City of Fresno
Development and Resource Management Department
2600 Fresno Street
Fresno, CA 93721

3. Contact person and phone number:

McKencie Contreras, Planner III
City of Fresno
Development and Resource Management Dept.
(559) 621-8066

4. Project location:

3015, 3028, and 3060 South Cherry Avenue
Located on the southeast and southwest corners of the intersection of South Cherry
and East North Avenues in the City and County of Fresno, California
Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 329-180-08 (County) & 329-190-21s (City)
APN 329-180-08 (County):
Site Latitude: 36°41'30.879" N
Site Longitude: 119°46'56.118" W
APN 329-190-21s (City):
Site Latitude: 36°41'28.248" N
Site Longitude: 119°46'48.4314" W
Mount Diablo Base & Meridian, Township 14S Range 20E, Section 27

5. **Project sponsor's name and address:**

Elias Saliba
Aesthetics Designs
4668 West Pine Avenue
Fresno, CA 93706

Dr. S.S. Chahal
2892 West El Paso Avenue
Fresno, CA 93711

6. **General & Specific plan designation:** Heavy Industrial

7. **Zoning:**

Existing –

APN: 321-180-08: AL-20 (Limited 20-Acre Agricultural [Fresno County])

APN: 321-190-21s: R-A/UGM (Single Family Residential-Agriculture District/Urban Growth Management)

Proposed –

APN: 321-180-08: M-3 (Heavy Industrial District)

8. **Description of project:**

Elias Saliba of Aesthetics Designs, on behalf of Gurudwara Nanak Sar, a California Nonprofit Religious Corporation, has filed Annexation Application No. ANX-13-001, Rezone Application No. R-13-010, and Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-13-088 pertaining to approximately 6.51 total acres of property located on the southeast and southwest corners of the intersection of South Cherry and East North Avenues.

Rezone Application No. R-13-010 proposes to amend the Official Zone Map to prezone an approximately 0.97 acre portion of the subject site located on the southwest corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues from the AL-20 (*Limited 20-Acre Agricultural [Fresno County]*) zone district to the M-3/UGM (*Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management*) zone district.

Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-13-088 proposes the construction of an approximately 43,745 square foot religious facility on the approximately 5.54 acre portion of the subject site generally located on the southeast corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues; and, the construction of approximately 9,088 square feet of building area (office and storage spaces to serve the religious facility) and a temporary ponding basin on the approximately 0.97 acre portion of the subject site located on the southwest corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues.

Annexation Application No. ANX-13-001 proposes annexation of the approximately 0.97 acre portion of the subject site located on the southwest corner of South Cherry and East North Avenues to the City of Fresno and detachment from the Fresno County Fire Protection District and Kings River Conservation District; these actions are under the jurisdiction of the Fresno Local Agency Formation Commission.

9. **Surrounding land uses and setting:**

	Planned Land Use	Existing Zoning	Existing Land Use
North	Heavy Industrial	M-3 <i>Heavy Industrial District</i>	Industrial
East	Ponding Basin	R-A/UGM <i>Single Family Residential-Agricultural District/Urban Growth Management</i>	Ponding Basin
South	Heavy Industrial	R-A/UGM <i>Single Family Residential-Agricultural District/Urban Growth Management</i> AL-20 <i>Limited 20-Acre Agricultural District (Fresno County)</i>	Rural Residential
West	Heavy Industrial	AL-20 <i>Limited 20-Acre Agricultural District (Fresno County)</i>	Rural Residential

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):

City of Fresno (COF) Department of Public Works; COF Department of Public Utilities; COF Building and Safety Services Division; COF Fire Department; Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District; Fresno County Department of Public Health; San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District; County of Fresno; and, Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO)

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21157.1(b) and CEQA Guidelines 15177(b)(2), the purpose of this MEIR initial study is to analyze whether the subsequent project was described in the Master Environmental Impact Report (MEIR) SCH No. 2012111015 and whether the subsequent project may cause any additional significant effect on the environment, which was not previously examined in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015 adopted for the updated Fresno General Plan.

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture and Forestry Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Geology /Soils
<input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions	<input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Noise
<input type="checkbox"/> Population /Housing	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Recreation
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic	<input type="checkbox"/> Utilities/Service Systems	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)


On the basis of this initial evaluation:

☒ I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR and that it is fully within the scope of the MEIR because it would have no additional significant effects that were not examined in the MEIR such that no new additional mitigation measures or alternatives may be required. All applicable mitigation measures contained in the Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist shall be imposed upon the proposed project. A FINDING OF CONFORMITY will be prepared.

☐ I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR but that it is not fully within the scope of the MEIR because the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment that was not examined in the MEIR. However, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. The project specific mitigation measures and all applicable

mitigation measures contained in the MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist will be imposed upon the proposed project. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR but that it MAY have a significant effect on the environment that was not examined in the MEIR, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required to analyze the potentially significant effects not examined in the MEIR pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21157.1(d) and CEQA Guidelines 15178(a).



McKencie Contreras, Planner III

03.06.15

Date

EVALUATION OF ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS NOT ASSESSED IN THE MEIR or Air Quality MND:

1. For purposes of this MEIR Initial Study, the following answers have the corresponding meanings:
 - a. "No Impact" means the subsequent project will not cause any additional significant effect related to the threshold under consideration which was not previously examined in the MEIR.
 - b. "Less Than Significant Impact" means there is an impact related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR, but that impact is less than significant;
 - c. "Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation" means there is a potentially significant impact related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR, however, with the mitigation incorporated into the project, the impact is less than significant.
 - d. "Potentially Significant Impact" means there is an additional potentially significant effect related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR.
2. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to

pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).

3. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
4. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
5. A "Finding of Conformity" is a determination based on an initial study that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR and that it is fully within the scope of the MEIR because it would have no additional significant effects that were not examined in the MEIR.
6. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).
7. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR or MIER, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in the MEIR or another earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
8. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate,

include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

9. Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.

10. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.

11. The explanation of each issue should identify:

- a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
- b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS -- Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				X
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				X
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			X	
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			X	

The proposed project is not located near a scenic vista. The project area is characterized by heavy industrial uses to the north and related church facilities on the

5.54 acre subject site. There are no state scenic highways or city- or county-designated roads which exist within the project area. Therefore, the project would have no impact on scenic vistas, roads or highways.

The view to the existing sites would be altered by the proposed buildings, which would change the existing visual character. The Gurduwara is proposed at a height permitted within the R-A district. One of the exceptions permits features like church steeples and towers to be constructed above the height requirement. The buildings proposed comply with these regulations. Therefore, the proposed buildings would have a less than significant impact on the character or quality of the site and its surroundings.

A condition of approval for the proposed conditional use permit will require that lighting where provided to illuminate parking area and public streets shall be hooded and so arranged and controlled so as not to cause a nuisance either to highway traffic or to the living environment. The amount of light shall be provided according to the standards of the Department of Public Works.

Furthermore, development of the sites will not create a new source of substantial light or glare which would affect day or night time views in the project area, given that during the entitlement process, staff will ensure that lights are located in areas that will minimize light sources to the neighboring properties. As a result, the project will have a less than significant impact on aesthetics. The project will be subject to the aesthetics mitigation measures identified in the MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist prepared for the Fresno General Plan.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. -- Would the project:				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				X
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				X
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				X
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				X
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				X

The subject sites are designated as "Urban and Built-Up Land" on the 2010 Rural Mapping Edition: Fresno County Important Farmland Map and thus have no farmland considered to be prime farmland, farmland of statewide importance, or unique farmland. The subject sites are not currently under cultivation. In addition, according to aerial photos that go as far back as 1992, the sites have not been under cultivation for a number of years. The land surrounding the sites to the north, south, east and west are designated as "Urban and Built-Up Land" by the above mentioned map. The subject

sites and property adjacent to the subject sites are not under a Williamson Act contract. There are several parcels under a Williamson Act contract over half a mile to the south of the subject sites. However, the proposed project on the subject sites will not affect the Williamson Act contract parcels.

The proposed conditional use permit application does not conflict with any forest land or Timberland Production or result in any loss of forest land. The proposed project does not include any changes which will affect the existing environment.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
III. AIR QUALITY AND GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE - (Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations.) -- Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan (e.g., by having potential emissions of regulated criterion pollutants which exceed the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control Districts (SJVAPCD) adopted thresholds for these pollutants)?			X	
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?			X	

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?			X	
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.			X	
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				X

The project will not occur at a scale or scope with potential to contribute substantially or cumulatively to existing or projected air quality violations, impacts, or increases of criteria pollutants for which the San Joaquin Valley region is under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors). The proposed project will comply with all applicable air quality plans. Therefore, no violations of air quality standards will occur and no net increase of pollutants will occur.

The proposed project will have a less than significant impact on the exposure of sensitive receptors to pollutant concentrations. A portion of the subject site has an existing religious facility; the project will be expanding on property that permits the expansion. The project is not establishing a new use that is not currently permitted. Existing and potential future heavy industrial uses on adjacent properties may be a source of potential odor concerns in later years. The proposed project will not create potentially significant objectionable odors; therefore there will be no impact.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - - Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				X
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				X
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				X

The proposed project would not directly affect any sensitive, special status, or candidate species, nor would it modify any habitat that supports them. There is no riparian habitat or any other sensitive natural community identified in the vicinity of the proposed project by the California Department of Fish and Game or the US Fish and Wildlife Service. No federally protected wetlands are located on the subject site. Therefore, there would be no impacts to species, riparian habitat or other sensitive communities and wetlands. There are also no bodies of water on the subject site or in the immediate vicinity of the subject site. The proposed project would have no impact on the movement of migratory fish or wildlife species or on established wildlife corridors or wildlife nursery sites. No local policies regarding biological resources are applicable to the subject site and there would be no impacts with regard to those plans.

No habitat conservation plans or natural community conservation plans in the region pertain to the natural resources that exist on the subject sites or in their immediate vicinity. Therefore, there would be no impacts.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in '15064.5?				X
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to '15064.5?				X
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				X
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				X

There are no structures which exist within the project area that are listed in the National or Local Register of Historic Places, and the subject sites are not within a designated historic district. There are no known archaeological resources that exist within the project area; however, previously unknown archaeological resources could be disturbed during project construction. Impacts would be less than significant with the following condition:

- If, during the course of construction activities, a previously unidentified or subsurface archaeological, paleontological, or human remains site or feature is discovered, the City of Fresno shall be notified, work shall stop at that location, and a qualified cultural resource professional shall be contacted to examine the discovery and determine its significance.

There are no known paleontological resources which exist within the project area; previously unknown paleontological resources or undiscovered human remains could be disturbed during project construction. Therefore, impacts to paleontological resources or human remains would be less than significant with the condition mentioned above. Mitigation measures from the Fresno General Plan MEIR will be incorporated into the project, which contain protocols to be taken in the event that cultural resources are discovered during construction.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				X
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				X
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				X
iv) Landslides?				X
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				X
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				X
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				X

This project is located in the southeastern portion of the Fresno metropolitan area, which is primarily designated for heavy industrial uses, where the topography is generally level. There are no geologic hazards or unstable soil conditions known to exist on the site. The existing topography is flat with no apparent unique or significant land forms such as vernal pools. Development of the property requires compliance with grading and drainage standards of the City of Fresno and the Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District (FMFCD) Standards. Grade differentials at property lines must be limited to one foot or less, or a cross-drainage covenant must be executed with affected adjoining property owners.

Fresno has no known active earthquake faults and is not in any Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zones. The immediate Fresno area has extremely low seismic activity levels, although shaking may be felt from earthquakes whose epicenters lie to the east, west, and south. Known major faults are over 50 miles distant and include the San Andreas Fault, Coalinga area blind thrust fault(s), and the Long Valley, Owens Valley, and White Wolf/Tehachapi fault systems. The most serious threat to Fresno from a major earthquake in the Eastern Sierra would be flooding that could be caused by damage to dams on the upper reaches of the San Joaquin River.

Fresno is classified by the State as being in a moderate seismic risk zone, Category "C" or "D," depending on the soils underlying the specific location being categorized and that location's proximity to the nearest known fault lines. All new structures are required to conform to current seismic protection standards in the California Building Code. Seismic upgrade/retrofit requirements are imposed on older structures by the City's Development and Resource Management Department as may be applicable to building modification and rehabilitation projects.

No adverse environmental effects related to topography, soils or geology are expected as a result of this project

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS -- Would the project:				
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				X
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				X

The proposed project will not occur at a scale or scope with potential to contribute substantially or cumulatively to the generation of greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly. The General Plan and MEIR rely upon a Greenhouse Gas Reduction Plan that provides a comprehensive assessment of the benefits of city policies and proposed code changes, existing plans, programs, and initiatives that reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The plan demonstrates that even though there is increased growth, the City would still be reducing greenhouse gas emissions through 2020 and per capita emission rates drop substantially. The benefits of adopted regulations become flat in later years and growth starts to exceed the reductions from all regulations and measures. Although it is highly likely that regulations will be updated to provide additional reductions, none are reflected in the analysis since only the effect of adopted regulations is included.

The proposed project will not affect greenhouse gas emissions beyond what was analyzed in the MEIR and mitigation measures from the updated Fresno General Plan will be incorporated.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL -- Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				X
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				X
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				X
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				X
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				X
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				X
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				X

There are no known existing hazardous material conditions on the sites and the project is not located on sites which are included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. The project itself will not generate or use hazardous materials in a manner outside health department requirements, is not near any wildland fire hazard zones, and poses no interference with the City's or County's Hazard Mitigation Plans or emergency response plans. The subject sites have not been under cultivation for many years. No pesticides or hazardous materials are known to exist on the sites and the proposed project will have no environmental impacts related to potential hazards or hazardous materials as identified above. The subject

sites are not located within an airport land use plan and are not within the vicinity of a private airstrip. Therefore, there will be no impacts related to hazards.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY -- Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				X
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?			X	
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			X	

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?			X	
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			X	
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			X	
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				X
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				X
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				X
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				X

Fresno is one of the largest cities in the United States still relying primarily on groundwater for its public water supply. Surface water treatment and distribution has been implemented in the northeastern part of the City, but the city is still subject to an EPA Sole Source Aquifer designation. While the aquifer underlying Fresno typically exceeds a depth of 300 feet and is capacious enough to provide adequate quantities of safe drinking water to the metropolitan area well into the twenty-first century, groundwater degradation, increasingly stringent water quality regulations, and an historic trend of high consumptive use of water on a per capita basis (some 250 gallons per day per capita), have resulted in a general decline in aquifer levels, increased cost to provide potable water, and localized water supply limitations.

The adverse groundwater conditions of limited supply and compromised quality have been well- documented by planning, environmental impact report and technical studies over the past 20 years including the Master Environmental Impact Report (MEIR) No. SCH No. 2012111015 for the Fresno General Plan, the MEIR 10130 for the 2025 Fresno General Plan, Final EIR No.10100, Final EIR No.10117, and Final EIR No. SCH 95022029 (Fresno Metropolitan Water Resource Management Plan), et al. These conditions include water quality degradation due to DBCP, arsenic, iron, and manganese concentrations; low water well yields; limited aquifer storage capacity and recharge capacity; and, intensive urban or semi-urban development occurring upgradient from the Fresno Metropolitan Area.

In response to the need for a comprehensive long-range water supply and distribution strategy, the General Plan recognizes the Kings Basin's Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, Fresno-Area Regional Groundwater Management Plan, and City of Fresno Metropolitan Water Resource Management Plan and cites the findings of the City of Fresno 2010 Urban Water Management Plan. The purpose of these management plans is to provide safe, adequate, and dependable water supplies to meet the future needs of the Kings Basin regions and the Fresno-Clovis metropolitan area in an economical manner; protect groundwater quality from further degradation and overdraft; and, provide a plan of reasonably implementable measures and facilities.

The 2010 Urban Water Management Plan, Figure 4-3 (incorporated by reference) illustrates the City of Fresno's goals to achieve a 'water balance' between supply and demand while decreasing reliance upon and use of groundwater. To achieve these goals the City is implementing a host of strategies, including:

- Intentional groundwater recharge through reclamation at the City's groundwater recharge facility at Leaky Acres (located northwest of Fresno-Yosemite international Airport), refurbish existing streams and canals to increase percolation, and recharge at Fresno Municipal Flood Control District's (FMFCD) storm water basins;
- Increase use of existing surface water entitlements from the Kings River, United States Bureau of Reclamation and Fresno Irrigation District for treatment at the

Northeast Storm Water Treatment Facility (NESWTF) and construct a new Southeast Storm Water Treatment Facility (SESWTF); and

- Recycle wastewater at the Fresno-Clovis Regional Wastewater Reclamation Facility (RWRF) for treatment and re-use for irrigation, and to percolation ponds for groundwater recharge. Further actions include the General Plan, Policy RC-6-d to prepare, adopt and implement a City of Fresno Recycled Water Master Plan.

The City of Fresno has adopted a key objective of balancing its groundwater operations by 2025. Groundwater is replenished mainly by natural recharge and subsurface flows; however the major component of this objective is the use of treated surface water from existing entitlements. The City is entitled to 60,000 acre feet from the Bureau of Reclamation and 85,000 acre feet from the Kings River annually. Figure 4-3 illustrates the effective use of treated surface water to replace and replenish groundwater supplies. Use of treated surface water from the NESWTF has increased from 100 percent dependence on groundwater in 2004 to 30,800 acre feet per year (af/yr) in 2014, and expected to increase to 120,800 in 2015 with production from the new NESWTF. Increases in surface water use effectively reduced groundwater use from 156,487 af/yr in 2000 to 144,850 af/yr in 2014, with an expected reduction of 76,100 af/yr in 2015. By 2025, with the addition of recycled water from the RWRF, groundwater use will drop to 53,500 af/yr, with 25,000 af/yr from recycled water and 123,000 af/yr from treated surface water. At build-out, in 2035, groundwater is expected to be reduced to 36 percent of total water supply.

In addition, the General Plan policies require the City to maintain a comprehensive conservation program to help reduce per capita water usage, and includes conservation programs such as landscaping standards for drought tolerance, irrigation control devices, leak detection and retrofits, water audits, public education and implementing US Bureau of Reclamation Best Management Practices for water conservation to maintain surface water entitlements.

Implementation of the Fresno General Plan policies, the Kings Basin Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, City of Fresno Urban Water Management Plan, Fresno-Area Regional Groundwater Management Plan, and City of Fresno Metropolitan Water Resource Management Plan and the applicable mitigation measures of approved environmental review documents will address the issues of providing an adequate, reliable, and sustainable water supply for the project's urban domestic and public safety consumptive purposes.

The City's Department of Public Utilities has determined that water facilities are available to provide service to the subject sites and installation of water service with meter boxes shall be provided. If the development creates additional water demands beyond the levels allocated in the version of the City's Urban Water Management Plan it will have to be offset or mitigated in a manner approved by the Department of Public

Utilities. A water analysis was prepared for water conservation. The analysis was reviewed and approved by the Department of Public Utilities.

When development permits are issued, the subject sites will be required to pay drainage fees pursuant to the Drainage Fee Ordinance. The Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District (FMFCD) has recommended that the developer provide temporary facilities for the subject site on southwest corner because permanent drainage service is not available. Permanent facilities are available for the subject site on the southeast corner. The subject sites do not appear to be located within a flood prone area as designated on the latest Flood Insurance Rate Maps available to the FMFCD.

Occupancy of this site will generate wastewater containing human waste, which is required to be conveyed and treated by the Fresno-Clovis Regional Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Facility. There will not be any onsite wastewater treatment system. This development will be required to abandon any existing on-site private septic systems, install separate sewer house branches and pay connection and sewer facility fees.

Implementation of the mitigation measures as identified in the attached MEIR Mitigation Monitoring Checklist for the Fresno General Plan will reduce the project's water impacts to less than significant. The applicant will be required to comply with all requirements of the City of Fresno Department of Public Utilities.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?				X
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				X

c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				X
---	--	--	--	---

The subject site on the southeast corner is zoned R-A and is not consistent with the Heavy Industrial planned land use designation of the updated Fresno General Plan, the Edison Community Plan, and the North Avenue Industrial Triangle Specific Plan. However, the subject site was zoned prior to the Local Planning and Procedures Ordinance of the City of Fresno, therefore consistency is not required (per Fresno Municipal Code Section 12-607). The R-A zone district does permit the religious facility land use; therefore, the use is consistent with the zoning designation. The subject site on the southwest corner is currently within the county and is planned for Heavy Industrial by the updated Fresno General Plan, the Edison Community Plan, and the North Avenue Industrial Triangle Specific Plan. The proposed M-3/UGM (*Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management*) rezoning of the subject site will be consistent with the land use designation. The proposed office and storage space is consistent with the zoning designation.

The project will not conflict with any conservation plans since it is not located within any conservation plan areas.

No habitat conservation plans or natural community conservation plans in the region pertain to the natural resources that exist on the subject site or in its immediate vicinity. Therefore, there would be no impacts.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				X
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				X

The subject sites are not located in an area designated for mineral resource preservation or recovery.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XII. NOISE -- Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			X	
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			X	
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				X
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				X
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X

In developed areas of the community, noise conflicts often occur when a noise sensitive land use is located adjacent to a noise generator. Noise in these situations frequently stems from on-site operations, use of outdoor equipment, uses where large numbers of persons assemble, and vehicular traffic. Some land uses, such as residential dwellings, are considered noise sensitive receptors and involve land uses associated with indoor and/or outdoor activities that may be subject to stress and/or significant interference from noise.

Due to the nature of the proposed project, the religious facility use is on a residentially designated parcel; however, the use is not as sensitive as a single family or multiple family residential uses. Since the parcel is zoned residential, the noise levels required are as follows:

DISTRICT	TIME	SOUND LEVEL DECIBELS
Residential	10 pm to 7 am	50
Residential	7 pm to 10 pm	55
Residential	7 am to 7 pm	60

The immediate vicinity consists of heavy industrial users, which have a higher noise level of 70 decibels anytime during the day. The Noise Element also requires that interior noise levels attributable to exterior noise sources not exceed 45 dB DNL. The intent of the interior noise level standard is to provide an acceptable noise environment for indoor communication and sleep. Although the project will create additional activity in the area, the project will be required to comply with all noise policies from the updated Fresno General Plan and noise codes from the Fresno Municipal Code.

Construction activities associated with the proposed project could expose persons or structures to excessive noise levels. However, this would only be during any construction phase of the proposed project and thus, this is a less than significant impact.

The proposed project is not located within an airport land use plan or within the vicinity of a private airstrip.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING -- Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			X	
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X

The subject sites and abutting properties are designated for Heavy Industrial planned land uses. The project will be intensifying the existing religious facility use of the subject site on the southeast corner and rezoning the subject site on the southwest corner consistent with the applicable land use plans. Development and use of the respective properties may occur at an intensity and scale that is permitted by the existing and proposed zone districts. Thus, the development of the subject sites is allowed under the existing and proposed zone district designations and will not facilitate an additional intensification of uses beyond that which would be allowed.

Properties within the immediate vicinity of the subject sites are planned for, and have been predominantly developed, with Heavy Industrial uses and have been developed at an intensity and scale designated by the Fresno General Plan, Edison Community Plan, and the North Avenue Industrial Triangle Specific Plan. There are also some properties within the vicinity that are developed with residential uses, which are consistent with their existing zoning designations. There are no existing single family homes on the subject sites; therefore the proposed project does not have the potential to displace

existing housing or residents and will not either directly or indirectly induce substantial population growth in the area.

A less than significant population and housing impact will result from the proposed project beyond what was analyzed in the MEIR for the Fresno General Plan.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES --				
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection?			X	
Police protection?			X	
Drainage and flood control?			X	
Parks?			X	
Schools?			X	
Other public services?			X	

The Department of Public Utilities has reviewed the proposed project and has determined that adequate sewer, water, and solid waste facilities are available subject to compliance with the conditions submitted by the Department of Public Utilities for this project. City police and fire protection services are also available to serve the proposed project. Fire Station No. 7 is located north of the subject sites, just over a mile.

The Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District (FMFCD) has indicated that the District's

Master Plan drainage system can accommodate the proposed prezone and that there are adequate facilities to serve the southeast corner site subject to compliance with the conditions submitted by the District for the proposed project. For the southwest corner site, permanent drainage service will not be available. In the memorandum issued by the District on September 3, 2013, the District recommends temporary facilities until permanent service is available. The applicant is proposing a temporary ponding basin on the site. The departments and agencies have all submitted conditions that will be required as Conditions of Approval for the subject sites. These conditions of approval will ensure that the proposed project will have a less than significant impact to urban services. All conditions of approval must be complied with prior to occupancy.

The demand for parks generated by the project is within planned services levels of the City of Fresno Parks and Community Services Department and the applicant will pay any required impact fees at the time building permits are obtained.

Any future development occurring as a result of the proposed project may have an effect on the District's student housing capacity. The District, through local funding, is in a position to mitigate its shortage of classrooms to accommodate planned population growth for the foreseeable future. However, the District recognizes that the legislature, as a matter of law, has deemed under Government Code Section 65996, that all school facilities impacts are mitigated as a consequence of SB 50 Level 1, 2 and 3 developer fee legislative provisions. The developer will pay appropriate impact fees at time of building permits.

Therefore, the proposed project will not affect public services beyond what was analyzed in the MEIR for the Fresno General Plan.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XV. RECREATION --				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?			X	

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				X

The proposed project will not result in the physical deterioration of existing parks or recreational facilities; and, will not require expansion of existing recreational facilities or affect recreational services beyond what was analyzed in the MEIR for the Fresno General Plan.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC -- Would the project:				
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths and mass transit?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				X
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks?				X
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				X
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				X
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				X

The subject sites are located on the southwest and southeast corners of South Cherry and East North Avenues. The main route for construction of the project would be East North and South Cherry Avenues. In the Fresno General Plan Circulation Element, East North Avenue is designated as an arterial street and North Cherry Avenue is a collector street. It is expected that vehicles would use East North Avenue. The project will be required to construct all necessary street frontage improvements to City Standards.

The Public Works Department/Traffic Engineering Division staff has reviewed the proposed traffic yield from the proposed project and the expected traffic generation will not adversely impact the existing and projected circulation system as analyzed in MEIR.

Applying the factors outlined in the Institute of Traffic Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, the proposed project is projected to generate 75 vehicle trips during the morning peak hour travel period (7 to 9 a.m.) and 28 vehicle trips during the evening peak hour travel period (4 to 6 p.m.) on a weekday. The project is projected to generate 122 vehicle trips during the morning peak hour travel period (7 to 9 a.m.) and 133 vehicle trips during the evening peak hour travel period (4 to 6 p.m.) on a Sunday. The traffic generation characteristics of the proposed project based upon these traffic projections do not warrant further study such as a traffic impact study based upon adopted thresholds. Furthermore, it has been determined that these traffic volume increases are insignificant with respect to the total volume that the adjacent roadways is designed and built to accommodate. Therefore, the adjacent public street network is sufficient to accommodate and serve the quantity and kind of traffic expected within the area.

The streets adjacent to and near the subject sites will be able to accommodate the quantity and kind of traffic which may be potentially generated by the proposed development subject to the requirements stipulated within the Department of Public Works, Traffic and Engineering Services Division memorandums dated February 28, 2014 and April 2, 2014.

The area street plans are the product of careful planning that projects traffic capacity needs based on the densities and intensities of planned land uses anticipated at build-out of the planned area. These streets will provide adequate access to, and recognize the traffic generating characteristics of, individual properties and, at the same time, afford the community an adequate and efficient circulation system; no substantial increase in transportation or traffic is expected to result.

The developer of this project, in accordance with the mitigation measures of the MEIR SCH No. 2012111015 prepared for the new Fresno General Plan adopted by the Fresno City Council on December 18, 2014, will be required to pay impact fees specific to the traffic signalization of the major street intersections. This project shall pay its Traffic Signal Mitigation Impact (TSMI) Fee at the time of building permit based on the trip generation rate(s) as set forth in the latest edition of the ITE Trip Generation Manual.

The project shall pay Fresno Major Street Impact fees which will be determined at time of building permit, and shall also pay into the Regional Transportation Mitigation Impact (RTMI) Fee at such time as the RTMI fee program is approved and applicable.

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), District 6, Office of Intergovernmental Relations has reviewed the proposed project and has stated that

there are several requirements to address the impact of the proposed project. The conditions are listed in their memorandum dated February 29, 2014, which will be included in the conditions of approval.

The project would not affect air traffic. There will be an increased number of pedestrians traveling across South Cherry Avenue to and from the existing religious facility site and the proposed office/storage project site. The proposed project will be required to incorporate safe paths of travel to and from the subject sites. There are no known lane closures expected during construction of the project that would impede emergency access and the project would not interfere with the provision of emergency services.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				X
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				X
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				X
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				X
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				X
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				X

The Department of Public Utilities has determined that adequate sanitary sewer and water services will be available to serve the proposed project subject to the payment of any applicable connection charges and/or fees; compliance with the Department of Public Utilities standards, specifications, and policies; and, compliance with the conditions listed in their memorandums dated August 27, 2013.

The project site will be serviced by Mid Valley Disposal and will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board.

The proposed project is it is not expected to exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board, and will not result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities.

Conditions of approval for the proposed project will also include measures for properly storing solid waste on the site to allow for safe trash truck pickup and minimize littering, and for segregating solid waste to maximize recycling to continue the City's compliance with State solid waste diversion laws (Fresno currently has the highest rate of solid waste recycling/landfill diversion among large cities in the United States). Landfill capacity serving the City, at the American Avenue Landfill operated by Fresno County, is adequate for the foreseeable future.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE --				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				X
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				X
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				X

The proposed project is considered to be proposed at a size and scope which is neither a direct or indirect detriment to the quality of the environment through reductions in

habitat, populations, or examples of local history (through either individual or cumulative impacts).

The proposed project does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment or reduce the habitat of wildlife species and will not threaten plant communities or endanger any floral or faunal species. Furthermore the project has no potential to eliminate important examples of major periods in history.

Therefore, as noted in preceding sections of this Initial Study, there is no evidence in the record to indicate that incremental environmental impacts facilitated by this project would be cumulatively significant. There is also no evidence in the record that the proposed project would have any adverse impacts directly, or indirectly, on human beings.

MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist

MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist for EA No. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088

Gurduwara Project

March 6, 2015

INCORPORATING MEASURES FROM THE MASTER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (MEIR) CERTIFIED FOR THE CITY OF FRESNO GENERAL PLAN UPDATE (SCH No. 2012111015)

This mitigation measure monitoring and reporting checklist was prepared pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15097 and Section 21081.6 of the Public Resources Code (PRC). It was certified as part of the Fresno City Council's approval of the MEIR for the Fresno General Plan update (Fresno City Council Resolution 2014-225, adopted December 18, 2014).

Letter designations to the right of each MEIR mitigation measure listed in this Exhibit note how the mitigation measure relates to the environmental assessment of the above-listed project, according to the key found at right and at the bottoms of the following pages:

- A - Incorporated into Project
- B - Mitigated
- C - Mitigation in Progress
- D - Responsible Agency Contacted
- E - Part of City-wide Program
- F - Not Applicable

The timing of implementing each mitigation measure is identified in the checklist, as well as identifies the entity responsible for verifying that the mitigation measures applied to a project are performed. Project applicants are responsible for providing evidence that mitigation measures are implemented. As lead agency, the City of Fresno is responsible for verifying that mitigation is performed/completed.

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A B C D E F					
			A	B	C	D	E	F
Aesthetics: AES-1. Lighting systems for street and parking areas shall include shields to direct light to the roadway surfaces and parking areas. Vertical shields on the light fixtures shall also be used to direct light away from adjacent light sensitive land uses such as residences. Verification comments:	Prior to issuance of building permits	Public Works Department (PW) and Development & Resource Management Dept. (DARM)						
			X					

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088 March 6, 2015

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Aesthetics (continued):									
AES-2: Lighting systems for public facilities such as active play areas shall provide adequate illumination for the activity; however, low intensity light fixtures and shields shall be used to minimize spillover light onto adjacent properties. Verification comments:		Prior to issuance of building permits	DARM						X
AES-3: Lighting systems for non-residential uses, not including public facilities, shall provide shields on the light fixtures and orient the lighting system away from adjacent properties. Low intensity light fixtures shall also be used if excessive spillover light onto adjacent properties will occur. Verification comments:		Prior to issuance of building permits	DARM	X					
AES-4: Lighting systems for freestanding signs shall not exceed 100 foot Lamberts (FT-L) when adjacent to streets which have an average light intensity of less than 2.0 horizontal footcandles and shall not exceed 500 FT-L when adjacent to streets which have an average light intensity of 2.0 horizontal footcandles or greater. Verification comments:		Prior to issuance of building permits	DARM						X

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY					
		A	B	C	D	E	F

Aesthetics (continued):

AES-5: Materials used on building facades shall be non-reflective. Verification comments:	Prior to development project approval	DARM					
		X					

Air Quality:

AIR-1: Projects that include five or more heavy-duty truck deliveries per day with sensitive receptors located within 300 feet of the truck loading area shall provide a screening analysis to determine if the project has the potential to exceed criteria pollutant concentration based standards and thresholds for NO ₂ and PM _{2.5} . If projects exceed screening criteria, refined dispersion modeling and health risk assessment shall be accomplished and if needed, mitigation measures to reduce impacts shall be included in the project to reduce the impacts to the extent feasible. Mitigation measures include but are not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locate loading docks and truck access routes as far from sensitive receptors as reasonably possible considering site design limitations to comply with other City design standards. Post signs requiring drivers to limit idling to 5 minutes or less. Verification comments:	Prior to development project approval	DARM					
							X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Air Quality <i>(continued)</i> :									
AIR-2: Projects that result in an increased cancer risk of 10 in a million or exceed criteria pollutant ambient air quality standards shall implement site-specific measures that reduce toxic air contaminant (TAC) exposure to reduce excess cancer risk to less than 10 in a million. Possible control measures include but are not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locate loading docks and truck access routes as far from sensitive receptors as reasonably possible considering site design limitations to comply with other City design standards. • Post signs requiring drivers to limit idling to 5 minutes or less • Construct block walls to reduce the flow of emissions toward sensitive receptors • Install a vegetative barrier downwind from the TAC source that can absorb a portion of the diesel PM emissions • For projects proposing to locate a new building containing sensitive receptors near existing sources of TAC emissions, install HEPA filters in HVAC systems to reduce TAC emission levels exceeding risk thresholds. • Install heating and cooling services at truck stops to eliminate the need for idling during overnight stops to run onboard systems. (continued on next page)		Prior to development project approval	DARM						
									X

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Air Quality (continued):

AIR-2 (continued from previous page) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For large distribution centers where the owner controls the vehicle fleet, provide facilities to support alternative fueled trucks powered by fuels such as natural gas or bio-diesel Utilize electric powered material handling equipment where feasible for the weight and volume of material to be moved. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
AIR-3: Require developers proposing projects on ARB's list of projects in its Air Quality and Land Use Handbook (Handbook) warranting special consideration to prepare a cumulative health risk assessment when sensitive receptors are located within the distance screening criteria of the facility as listed in the ARB Handbook. Verification comments:	Prior to development project approval	DARM						
								X

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Air Quality (continued):									
AIR-4: Require developers of projects containing sensitive receptors to provide a cumulative health risk assessment at project locations exceeding ARB Land Use Handbook distance screening criteria or newer criteria that may be developed by the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD). Verification comments:		Prior to development project approval	DARM						X
AIR-5: Require developers of projects with the potential to generate significant odor impacts as determined through review of SJVAPCD odor complaint history for similar facilities and consultation with the SJVAPCD to prepare an odor impact assessment and to implement odor control measures recommended by the SJVAPCD or the City to the extent needed to reduce the impact to less than significant. Verification comments:		Prior to development project approval	DARM						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Biological Resources:

BIO-1: Construction of a proposed project should avoid, where possible, vegetation communities that provide suitable habitat for a special-status species known to occur within the Planning Area. If construction within potentially suitable habitat must occur, the presence/absence of any special-status plant or wildlife species must be determined prior to construction, to determine if the habitat supports any special-status species. If special-status species are determined to occupy any portion of a project site, avoidance and minimization measures shall be incorporated into the construction phase of a project to avoid direct or incidental take of a listed species to the greatest extent feasible. Verification comments:	Prior to development project approval	DARM	X					
BIO-2: Direct or incidental take of any state or federally listed species should be avoided to the greatest extent feasible. If construction of a proposed project will result in the direct or incidental take of a listed species, consultation with the resources agencies and/or additional permitting may be required. Agency consultation through the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) 2081 and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Section 7 or Section 10 permitting processes must take place prior to any action that <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to development project approval	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Biological Resources *(continued)*:

BIO-2 *(continued from previous page)*
may result in the direct or incidental take of a listed species. Specific mitigation measures for direct or incidental impacts to a listed species will be determined on a case-by-case basis through agency consultation.

Verification comments:

BIO-3: Development within the Planning Area should avoid, where possible, special-status natural communities and vegetation communities that provide suitable habitat for special-status species. If a proposed project will result in the loss of a special-status natural community or suitable habitat for special-status species, compensatory habitat-based mitigation is required under CEQA and the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). Mitigation will consist of preserving on-site habitat, restoring similar habitat or purchasing off-site credits from an approved mitigation bank. Compensatory mitigation will be determined through consultation with the City and/or resource agencies. An appropriate mitigation strategy and ratio will be agreed upon by the developer and lead agency to reduce project impacts to special-status natural communities to a less than significant
(continued on next page)

[see previous page]

Prior to development project approval

DARM

X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Biological Resources *(continued)*:

<p>BIO-3 <i>(continued from previous page)</i>: level. Agreed-upon mitigation ratios will depend on the quality of the habitat and presence/absence of a special-status species. The specific mitigation for project level impacts will be determined on a case-by-case basis. Verification comments:</p>	<p><i>[see previous page]</i></p>	<p><i>[see previous page]</i></p>						
			X					
<p>BIO-4: Proposed projects within the Planning Area should avoid, if possible, construction within the general nesting season of February through August for avian species protected under Fish and Game Code 3500 and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA), if it is determined that suitable nesting habitat occurs on a project site. If construction cannot avoid the nesting season, a pre-construction clearance survey must be conducted to determine if any nesting birds or nesting activity is observed on or within 500-feet of a project site. If an active nest is observed during the survey, a biological monitor must be on site to ensure that no proposed project activities would impact the active nest. A suitable buffer will be established around the active nest until the nestlings have fledged and the nest is no longer active. Project activities <i>(continued on next page)</i></p>	<p>Prior to development project approval and during construction activities</p>	<p>DARM</p>						

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Biological Resources <i>(continued)</i> :									
BIO-4 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> : may continue in the vicinity of the nest only at the discretion of the biological monitor. Verification comments:		<i>[see previous page]</i>	<i>[see previous page]</i>						
BIO-5 : If a proposed project will result in the removal or impact to any riparian habitat and/or a special-status natural community with potential to occur in the Planning Area, compensatory habitat-based mitigation shall be required to reduce project impacts. Compensatory mitigation must involve the preservation or restoration or the purchase of off-site mitigation credits for impacts to riparian habitat and/or a special-status natural community. Mitigation must be conducted in-kind or within an approved mitigation bank in the region. The specific mitigation ratio for habitat-based mitigation will be determined through consultation with the appropriate agency (<i>i.e.</i> , CDFW or USFWS) on a case-by-case basis. Verification comments:		Prior to development project approval	DARM	X					

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	COMPLIANCE					
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Biological Resources (*continued*):

BIO-6: Project impacts that occur to riparian habitat may also result in significant impacts to streambeds or waterways protected under Section 1600 of Fish and Wildlife Code and Section 404 of the CWA. CDFW and/or USACE consultation, determination of mitigation strategy, and regulatory permitting to reduce impacts, as required for projects that remove riparian habitat and/or alter a streambed or waterway, shall be implemented. Verification comments:	Prior to development project approval	DARM						
			X					

BIO-7: Project-related impacts to riparian habitat or a special-status natural community may result in direct or incidental impacts to special-status species associated with riparian or wetland habitats. Project impacts to special-status species associated with riparian habitat shall be mitigated through agency consultation, development of a mitigation strategy, and/or issuing incidental take permits for the specific special-status species, as determined by the CDFW and/or USFWS. Verification comments:	Prior to development project approval	DARM						
			X					

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Biological Resources <i>(continued)</i> :									
BIO-8: If a proposed project will result in the significant alteration or fill of a federally protected wetland, a formal wetland delineation conducted according to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) accepted methodology is required for each project to determine the extent of wetlands on a project site. The delineation shall be used to determine if federal permitting and mitigation strategy are required to reduce project impacts. Acquisition of permits from USACE for the fill of wetlands and USACE approval of a wetland mitigation plan would ensure a "no net loss" of wetland habitat within the Planning Area. Appropriate wetland mitigation/creation shall be implemented in a ratio according to the size of the impacted wetland.		Prior to development project approval	DARM						X
Verification comments:									
BIO-9: In addition to regulatory agency permitting, Best Management Practices (BMPs) identified from a list provided by the USACE shall be incorporated into the design and construction phase of the project to ensure that no pollutants or siltation drain into a federally protected wetland. Project design features such as fencing, appropriate drainage and <i>(continued on next page)</i>		Prior to development project approval; but for long-term operational BMPs, prior to issuance of occupancy	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project **C** - Mitigation in Process **E** - Part of City-Wide Program
B - Mitigated **D** - Responsible Agency Contacted **F** - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A B C D E F					
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Biological Resources *(continued)*:

BIO-9 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> : incorporating detention basins shall assist in ensuring project-related impacts to wetland habitat are minimized to the greatest extent feasible. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]	
--	---------------------	---------------------	--

Cultural Resources:

CUL-1: If previously unknown resources are encountered before or during grading activities, construction shall stop in the immediate vicinity of the find and a qualified historical resources specialist shall be consulted to determine whether the resource requires further study. The qualified historical resources specialist shall make recommendations to the City on the measures that shall be implemented to protect the discovered resources, including but not limited to excavation of the finds and evaluation of the finds in accordance with Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines and the City's Historic Preservation Ordinance. If the resources are determined to be unique historical resources as defined under Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines, measures shall be identified by the monitor and <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities	DARM	<div>X</div>
---	---	------	--------------

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088 March 6, 2015

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Cultural Resources <i>(continued)</i> :									
CUL-1 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> recommended to the Lead Agency. Appropriate measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. No further grading shall occur in the area of the discovery until the Lead Agency approves the measures to protect these. Any historical artifacts recovered as a result of mitigation shall be provided to a City-approved institution or person who is capable of providing long-term preservation to allow future scientific study. Verification comments:		<i>[see previous page]</i>	<i>[see previous page]</i>						
CUL-2: Subsequent to a preliminary City review of the project grading plans, if there is evidence that a project will include excavation or construction activities within previously undisturbed soils, a field survey and literature search for prehistoric archaeological resources shall be conducted. The following procedures shall be followed. If prehistoric resources are not found during either the field survey or literature search, excavation and/or construction activities can commence. In the event that buried prehistoric <i>(continued on next page)</i>		Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated
C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted
E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Cultural Resources *(continued)*:

<p>CUL-2 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>archaeological resources are discovered during excavation and/or construction activities, construction shall stop in the immediate vicinity of the find and a qualified archaeologist shall be consulted to determine whether the resource requires further study. The qualified archaeologist shall make recommendations to the City on the measures that shall be implemented to protect the discovered resources, including but not limited to excavation of the finds and evaluation of the finds in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5.</p> <p>If the resources are determined to be unique prehistoric archaeological resources as defined under Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines, mitigation measures shall be identified by the monitor and recommended to the Lead Agency. Appropriate measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. No further grading shall occur in the area of the discovery until the Lead Agency approves the measures to protect these resources. Any prehistoric archaeological artifacts recovered as a result of mitigation shall be provided</p> <p><i>(continued on next page)</i></p>	<p><i>[see previous page]</i></p>	<p><i>[see previous page]</i></p>	
---	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Cultural Resources (continued):

<p>CUL-2 <i>(further continued from previous two pages)</i></p> <p>to a City-approved institution or person who is capable of providing long-term preservation to allow future scientific study.</p> <p>If prehistoric resources are found during the field survey or literature review, the resources shall be inventoried using appropriate State record forms and submit the forms to the Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center. The resources shall be evaluated for significance. If the resources are found to be significant, measures shall be identified by the qualified archaeologist. Similar to above, appropriate mitigation measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds.</p> <p>In addition, appropriate mitigation for excavation and construction activities in the vicinity of the resources found during the field survey or literature review shall include an archaeological monitor. The monitoring period shall be determined by the qualified archaeologist. If additional prehistoric archaeological resources are found during</p> <p><i>(continued on next page)</i></p>	<p>[see Page 14]</p>	<p>[see Page 14]</p>						
--	----------------------	----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Cultural Resources (continued):

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated
C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted
E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A B C D E F					
			A	B	C	D	E	F
<p>CUL-2 <i>(further continued from previous three pages)</i></p> <p>excavation and/or construction activities, the procedure identified above for the discovery of unknown resources shall be followed.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	[see Page 14]	[see Page 14]						
<p>CUL-3: Subsequent to a preliminary City review of the project grading plans, if there is evidence that a project will include excavation or construction activities within previously undisturbed soils, a field survey and literature search for unique paleontological/geological resources shall be conducted. The following procedures shall be followed:</p> <p>If unique paleontological/geological resources are not found during either the field survey or literature search, excavation and/or construction activities can commence. In the event that unique paleontological/geological resources are discovered during excavation and/or construction activities, construction shall stop in the immediate vicinity of the find and a qualified paleontologist shall be consulted to determine whether the resource requires further study. The qualified paleontologist shall make recommendations to the City on the measures that shall be implemented to protect the discovered</p> <p><i>(continued on next page)</i></p>	Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
<p>CUL-3 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>resources, including but not limited to, excavation of the finds and evaluation of the finds. If the resources are determined to be significant, mitigation measures shall be identified by the monitor and recommended to the Lead Agency. Appropriate mitigation measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. No further grading shall occur in the area of the discovery until the Lead Agency approves the measures to protect these resources. Any paleontological/geological resources recovered as a result of mitigation shall be provided to a City-approved institution or person who is capable of providing long-term preservation to allow future scientific study.</p> <p>If unique paleontological/geological resources are found during the field survey or literature review, the resources shall be inventoried and evaluated for significance. If the resources are found to be significant, mitigation measures shall be identified by the qualified paleontologist. Similar to above, appropriate mitigation measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. In addition, appropriate mitigation for excavation and construction activities in the vicinity of the</p> <p><i>(continued on next page)</i></p>	<p><i>[see previous page]</i></p>	<p><i>[see previous page]</i></p>						

A - Incorporated into Project **C** - Mitigation in Process **E** - Part of City-Wide Program
B - Mitigated **D** - Responsible Agency Contacted **F** - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	COMPLIANCE					
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Cultural Resources *(continued)*:

<p>CUL-3 <i>(further continued from previous two pages)</i></p> <p>resources found during the field survey or literature review shall include a paleontological monitor. The monitoring period shall be determined by the qualified paleontologist. If additional paleontological/geological resources are found during excavation and/or construction activities, the procedure identified above for the discovery of unknown resources shall be followed.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	<p>[see Page 17]</p>	<p>[see Page 17]</p>						
<p>CUL-4: In the event that human remains are unearthed during excavation and grading activities of any future development project, all activity shall cease immediately. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) Section 7050.5, no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin and disposition pursuant to PRC Section 5097.98(a). If the remains are determined to be of Native American descent, the coroner shall within 24 hours notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). The NAHC shall then contact the most</p> <p><i>(continued on next page)</i></p>	<p>Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities</p>	<p>DARM</p>	<p>X</p>					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
<p>Cultural Resources (<i>continued</i>):</p> <p>CUL-4 (<i>continued from previous page</i>)</p> <p>likely descendent of the deceased Native American, who shall then serve as the consultant on how to proceed with the remains.</p> <p>Pursuant to PRC Section 5097.98(b), upon the discovery of Native American remains, the landowner shall ensure that the immediate vicinity, according to generally accepted cultural or archaeological standards or practices, where the Native American human remains are located is not damaged or disturbed by further development activity until the landowner has discussed and conferred with the most likely descendants regarding their recommendations, if applicable, taking into account the possibility of multiple human remains. The landowner shall discuss and confer with the descendants all reasonable options regarding the descendants' preferences for treatment.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088 March 6, 2015

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Hazards and Hazardous Materials

HAZ-1: Re-designate the existing vacant land proposed for low density residential located northwest of the intersection of East Garland Avenue and North Dearing Avenue and located within Fresno Yosemite International Airport Zone 1-RPZ, to Open Space. Verification comments:	Prior to development approvals	DARM						
								X
HAZ-2: Limit the proposed low density residential (1 to 3 dwelling units per acre) located northwest of the airport, and located within Fresno Yosemite International Airport Zone 3-Inner Turning Area, to 2 dwelling units per acre or less. Verification comments:	Prior to development approvals	DARM						
								X
HAZ-3: Re-designate the current area within Fresno Yosemite International Airport Zone 5-Sideline located northeast of the airport to Public Facilities-Airport or Open Space. Verification comments:	Prior to development approvals	DARM						
								X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088 March 6, 2015

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Hazards and Hazardous Materials (<i>continued</i>):									
HAZ-4: Re-designate the current vacant lots at the northeast corner of Kearney Boulevard and South Thorne Avenue to Public Facilities-Airport or Open Space. Verification comments:		Prior to development approvals	DARM						X
HAZ-5: Prohibit residential uses within Safety Zone 1 northwest of the Hawes Avenue and South Thorne Avenue intersection. Verification comments:		Prior to development approvals	DARM						X
HAZ-6: Establish an alternative Emergency Operations Center in the event the current Emergency Operations Center is under redevelopment or blocked. Verification comments:		Prior to redevelopment of the current Emergency Operations Center	Fresno Fire Department and Mayor/City Manager's Office						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated
C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted
E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Hydrology and Water Quality									
HYD-1: The City shall develop and implement water conservation measures to reduce the per capita water use to 215 gallons per capita per day.		Prior to water demand exceeding water supply	Department of Public Utilities (DPU)	X					
Verification comments:									
HYD-2: The City shall continue to be an active participant in the Kings Water Authority and the implementation of the Kings Basin IRWMP.		Ongoing	DPU					X	
Verification comments:									
HYD-5.1: The City and partnering agencies shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan collection systems to less than significant.		Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing stormwater drainage facilities	Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District (FMFCD), DARM, and PW					X	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement the existing Storm Drainage Master Plan (SDMP) for collection systems in drainage areas where the amount of imperviousness is unaffected by the change in land uses. 									
<i>(continued on next page)</i>									

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Hydrology and Water Quality <i>(continued)</i> :									
HYD-5.1 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update the SDMP in those drainage areas where the amount of imperviousness increased due to the change in land uses to determine the changes in the collection systems that would need to occur to provide adequate capacity for the stormwater runoff from the increased imperviousness. Implement the updated SDMP to provide stormwater collection systems that have sufficient capacity to convey the peak runoff rates from the areas of increased imperviousness. <p>Require developments that increase site imperviousness to install, operate, and maintain FMFCD approved on-site detention systems to reduce the peak runoff rates resulting from the increased imperviousness to the peak runoff rates that will not exceed the capacity of the existing stormwater collection systems.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>		[see previous page]	[see previous page]						

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Hydrology and Water Quality (continued):									
<p>HYD-5.2: The City and partnering agencies shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan retention basins to less than significant:</p> <p>Consult the SDMP to analyze the impacts to existing and planned retention basins to determine remedial measures required to reduce the impact on retention basin capacity to less than significant. Remedial measures would include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the size of the retention basin through the purchase of more land or deepening the basin or a combination for planned retention basins. • Increase the size of the emergency relief pump capacity required to pump excess runoff volume out of the basin and into adjacent canal that convey the stormwater to a disposal facility for existing retention basins. • Require developments that increase runoff volume to install, operate, and maintain, Low Impact Development (LID) measures to reduce runoff volume to the runoff volume that will not exceed the capacity of the existing retention basins. <p>Verification comments:</p>		Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing retention basin facilities	FMFCD, DARM, and PW						X

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
<p>Hydrology and Water Quality (<i>continued</i>):</p> <p>HYD-5.3: The City and partnering agencies shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan urban detention (stormwater quality) basins to less than significant.</p> <p>Consult the SDMP to determine the impacts to the urban detention basin weir overflow rates and determine remedial measures required to reduce the impact on the detention basin capacity to less than significant. Remedial measures would include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify overflow weir to maintain the suspended solids removal rates adopted by the FMFCD Board of Directors. • Increase the size of the urban detention basin to increase residence time by purchasing more land. The existing detention basins are already at the adopted design depth. • Require developments that increase runoff volume to install, operate, and maintain, Low Impact Development (LID) measures to reduce peak runoff rates and runoff volume to the runoff rates and volumes that will not exceed the weir overflow rates of the existing urban detention basins. <p>Verification comments:</p>	<p>Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing urban detention basin (stormwater quality) facilities</p>	<p>FMFCD, DARM, and PW</p>					X	

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Hydrology and Water Quality (*continued*):

<p>HYD-5.4: The City shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan pump disposal systems to less than significant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consult the SDMP to determine the extent and degree to which the capacity of the existing pump system will be exceeded. Require new developments to install, operate, and maintain FMFCD design standard on-site detention facilities to reduce peak stormwater runoff rates to existing planned peak runoff rates. Provide additional pump system capacity to maximum allowed by existing permitting to increase the capacity to match or exceed the peak runoff rates determined by the SDMP. <p>Verification comments:</p>	<p>Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing pump disposal systems</p>	<p>FMFCD, DARM, and PW</p>						
								X

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A B C D E F					
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HYD-5.5: The City shall work with FMFCD to develop and adopt an update to the SDMP for the Southeast Development Area that would be adequately designed to collect, convey and dispose of runoff at the rates and volumes which would be generated by the planned land uses in that area. <p>Verification comments:</p>	Prior to development approvals in the Southeast Development Area	FMFCD, DARM, and PW						
								X

Public Services:

<p>PS-1: As future fire facilities are planned, the fire department shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from fire facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce these impacts includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Noise:</i> Barriers and setbacks on the fire department sites. <i>Traffic:</i> Traffic devices for circulation and a "keep clear zone" during emergency responses. <i>Lighting:</i> Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures on the fire department sites. <p>Verification comments:</p>	During the planning process for future fire department facilities	DARM						
								X

MITIGATION MEASURE				WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Public Services (continued):											
<p>PS-2: As future police facilities are planned, the police department shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from police facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts from police department facilities includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Noise:</i> Barriers and setbacks on the police department sites.• <i>Traffic:</i> Traffic devices for circulation.• <i>Lighting:</i> Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures on the fire department sites. <p>Verification comments:</p>						During the planning process for future Police Department facilities	DARM				X
<p>PS-3: As future public and private school facilities are planned, school districts shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur with regard to public schools, and DARM shall evaluate other school facilities. Typical impacts from school facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts from school facilities includes:</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>						During the planning process for future school facilities	DARM, local school districts, and the Division of the State Architect				X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Public Services (continued):

PS-3 (continued from previous page) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise: Barriers and setbacks placed on school sites. Traffic: Traffic devices for circulation. Lighting: Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures for stadium lights. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
PS-4: As future parks and recreational facilities are planned, the City shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from school facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts from park and recreational facilities includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise: Barriers and setbacks placed on school sites. Traffic: Traffic devices for circulation. Lighting: Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures for outdoor play area/field lights. Verification comments:	During the planning process for future park and recreation facilities	DARM						
							X	

Public Services (continued):

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated
C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted
E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
<p>PS-5: As future detention, court, library, and hospital facilities are planned, the appropriate agencies shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from court, library, and hospital facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Noise:</i> Barriers and setbacks placed on school sites. • <i>Traffic:</i> Traffic devices for circulation. • <i>Lighting:</i> Provision of hoods and deflectors on outdoor lighting fixtures <p>Verification comments:</p>		During the planning process for future detention, court, library, and hospital facilities	DARM, to the extent that agencies constructing these facilities are subject to City of Fresno regulation					X	
<p>Utilities and Service Systems</p>									
<p>USS-1: The City shall develop and implement a wastewater master plan update.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>		Prior to wastewater conveyance and treatment demand exceeding capacity	DPU					X	

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

Page 31

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

<p>USS-2: Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity, the City shall evaluate the wastewater system and shall not approve additional development that contributes wastewater to the wastewater treatment facility that could exceed capacity until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the City shall construct the following improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct an approximately 70 MGD expansion of the Regional Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Facility and obtain revised waste discharge permits as the generation of wastewater is increased. Construct an approximately 0.49 MGD expansion of the North Facility and obtain revised waste discharge permits as the generation of wastewater is increased. <p>Verification comments:</p>	<p>Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity</p>	<p>DPU</p>						X
<p>USS-3: Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity, the City shall evaluate the wastewater system and shall not approve additional development that contributes wastewater to the wastewater treatment facility that could exceed capacity until additional capacity is provided. After <i>(continued on next page)</i></p>	<p>Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity</p>	<p>DPU</p>						X

A - Incorporated into Project **C** - Mitigation in Process **E** - Part of City-Wide Program
B - Mitigated **D** - Responsible Agency Contacted **F** - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems (continued):

USS-3 (continued from previous page) approximately the year 2025, the City shall construct the following improvements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct an approximately 24 MGD wastewater treatment facility within the Southeast Development Area and obtain revised waste discharge requirements as the generation of wastewater is increased. Construct an approximately 9.6 MGD expansion of the Regional Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Facility and obtain revised waste discharge permits as the generation of wastewater is increased. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
USS-4: A Traffic Control/Traffic Management Plan to address traffic impacts during construction of water and sewer facilities shall be prepared and implemented, subject to approval by the City (and Fresno County, when work is being done in unincorporated area roadways). The plan shall identify access and parking restrictions, pavement markings and signage, and hours of construction and for deliveries. It shall include haul routes, the notification plan, and coordination with emergency service providers and schools. Verification comments:	Prior to construction of water and sewer facilities	PW for work in the City; PW and Fresno County Public Works and Planning when unincorporated area roadways are involved						
							X	

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Utilities and Service Systems <i>(continued)</i> :								
<p>USS-5: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing wastewater collection system facilities, the City shall evaluate the wastewater collection system and shall not approve additional development that would generate additional wastewater and exceed the capacity of a facility until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the following capacity improvements shall be provided.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Orange Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Dakota and Jensen Avenues. Approximately 37,240 feet of new sewer main shall be installed and approximately 5,760 feet of existing sewer main shall be rehabilitated. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 27 inches to 42 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are RS03A, RL02, C01-REP, C02-REP, C03-REP, C04-REP, C05-REP, C06-REL and C07-REP. Marks Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Clinton Avenue and Kearney Boulevard. Approximately 12,150 feet of new sewer main shall be installed. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 33 inches to 60 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are CM1-REP and CM2-REP. <p><i>(continued on next page)</i></p>	<p>Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing wastewater collection system facilities</p>	DPU						X

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A B C D E F					
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems (continued):

<p>USS-5 (continued from previous page)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> North Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Polk and Fruit Avenues and also between Orange and Maple Avenues. Approximately 25,700 feet of new sewer main shall be installed. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 48 inches to 66 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are CN1-REL1 and CN3-REL1. Ashlan Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Hughes and West Avenues and also between Fruit and Blackstone Avenues. Approximately 9,260 feet of new sewer main shall be installed. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 24 inches to 36 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are CA1-REL and CA2-REP. <p>Verification comments:</p>	<p>[see previous page]</p>	<p>[see previous page]</p>	
---	----------------------------	----------------------------	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Utilities and Service Systems <i>(continued)</i> :									
USS-6: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing 28 pipeline segments shown in Figures 1 and 2 in Appendix J-1, the City shall evaluate the wastewater collection system and shall not approve additional development that would generate additional wastewater and exceed the capacity of one of the 28 pipeline segments until additional capacity is provided. Verification comments:		Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing 28 pipeline segments shown in Figures 1 and 2 in Appendix J-1 of the MEIR	DPU					X	
USS-7: Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity, the City shall evaluate the water supply system and shall not approve additional development that demand additional water until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the following capacity improvements shall be provided. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct an approximately 80 million gallon per day (MGD) surface water treatment facility near the intersection of Armstrong and Olive Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the City of Fresno Metropolitan Water Resources Management Plan Update (2014 Metro Plan Update) Phase 2 Report, dated January 2012. <i>(continued on next page)</i>		Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity	DPU					X	

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

USS-7 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct an approximately 30 MGD expansion of the existing northeast surface water treatment facility for a total capacity of 60 MGD, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. Construct an approximately 20 MGD surface water treatment facility in the southwest portion of the City, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
USS-8: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities, the City shall evaluate the water conveyance system and shall not approve additional development that would demand additional water and exceed the capacity of a facility until additional capacity is provided. The following capacity improvements shall be provided by approximately 2025. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct 65 new groundwater wells, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities	DPU						
							X	

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE		WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Utilities and Service Systems (continued):									
USS-8 (continued from previous page)		[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Construct a 2.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T2) near the intersection of Clovis and California Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update.Construct a 3.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T3) near the intersection of Temperance and Dakota Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update.Construct a 3.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T4) in the Downtown Planning Area, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update.Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T5) near the intersection of Ashlan and Chestnut Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update.Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T6) near the intersection of Ashlan Avenue and Highway 99, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update.		(continued on next page)							

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY					
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems (continued):

<p>USS-8 (continued from previous two pages)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct 50.3 miles of regional water transmission mains ranging in size from 24-inch to 48-inch diameter, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. Construct 95.9 miles of 16-inch diameter transmission grid mains, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. <p>Verification comments:</p>	[see Page 37]	[see Page 37]						
<p>USS-9: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities, the City shall evaluate the water conveyance system and shall not approve additional development that would demand additional water and exceed the capacity of a facility until additional capacity is provided. The following capacity improvements shall be provided after approximately the year 2025 and additional water conveyance facilities shall be provided prior to exceedance of capacity within the water conveyance facilities to accommodate full buildout of the General Plan Update.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>	Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities	DPU						
							X	

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY					
		A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems (continued):

<p>USS-9 (continued from previous page)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (SEDA Reservoir 1) within the northern part of the Southeast Development Area. Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (SEDA Reservoir 2) within the southern part of the Southeast Development Area. <p>Additional water conveyance facilities shall be provided prior to exceedance of capacity within the water conveyance facilities to accommodate full buildout of the General Plan Update.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	<p>[see previous page]</p>	<p>[see previous page]</p>	<p>[see previous page]</p>
--	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

Utilities and Service Systems - Hydrology and Water Quality

USS-10: In order to maintain Fresno Irrigation District canal operability, FMFCD shall maintain operational intermittent flows during the dry season, within defined channel capacity and downstream capture capabilities, for recharge.	During the dry season	Fresno Irrigation District (FID)			X		
Verification comments:							

A - Incorporated
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems - Biological Resources:

<p>USS-11: When FMFCD proposes to provide drainage service outside of urbanized areas:</p> <p>(a) FMFCD shall conduct preliminary investigations on undeveloped lands outside of highly urbanized areas. These investigations shall examine wetland hydrology, vegetation and soil types. These preliminary investigations shall be the basis for making a determination on whether or not more in-depth wetland studies shall be necessary. If the proposed project site does not exhibit wetland hydrology, support a prevalence of wetland vegetation and wetland soil types then no further action is required.</p> <p>(b) Where proposed activities could have an impact on areas verified by the Corps as jurisdictional wetlands or waters of the U.S. (urban and rural streams, seasonal wetlands, and vernal pools), FMFCD shall obtain the necessary Clean Water Act, Section 404 permits for activities where fill material shall be placed in a wetland, obstruct the flow or circulation of waters of the United States, impair or reduce the reach of such waters. As part of FMFCD's Memorandum of Understanding with CDFG, Section 404 and 401 permits would be obtained from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and from the</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p>	Prior to development approvals outside of highly urbanized areas	California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), and USACE						
								X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
<p>Utilities and Service Systems - Biological Resources (continued):</p> <p>USS-11 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>Regional Water Quality Control Board for any activity involving filling of jurisdictional waters). At a minimum, to meet "no net loss policy," the permits shall require replacement of wetland habitat at a 1:1 ratio.</p> <p>(c) Where proposed activities could have an impact on areas verified by the Corps as jurisdictional wetlands or waters of the U.S. (urban and rural streams, seasonal wetlands, and vernal pools), FMFCD shall submit and implement a wetland mitigation plan based on the wetland acreage verified by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The wetland mitigation plan shall be prepared by a qualified biologist or wetland scientist experienced in wetland creation, and shall include the following or equally effective elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Specific location, size, and existing hydrology and soils within the wetland creation area. ii. Wetland mitigation techniques, seed source, planting specifications, and required buffer setbacks. In addition, the mitigation plan shall ensure adequate water supply is provided to the created wetlands in order to maintain the proper <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p>								
[see previous page]			[see previous page]					

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY					
		A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems - Biological Resources (continued):

<p>USS-11 (continued from previous two pages)</p> <p>hydrologic regimes required by the different types of wetlands created. Provisions to ensure the wetland water supply is maintained in perpetuity shall be included in the plan.</p> <p>iii. A monitoring program for restored, enhanced, created, and preserved wetlands on the project site. A monitoring program is required to meet three objectives; 1) establish a wetland creation success criteria to be met; 2) to specify monitoring methodology; 3) to identify as far as is possible, specific remedial actions that will be required in order to achieve the success criteria; and 4) to document the degree of success achieved in establishing wetland vegetation.</p> <p>(d) A monitoring plan shall be developed and implemented by a qualified biologist to monitor results of any on-site wetland restoration and creation for five years. The monitoring plan shall include specific success criteria, frequency and timing of monitoring, and assessment of whether or not maintenance activities are being carried out and how these shall be adjusted if necessary.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>	[see Page 41]	[see Page 41]	
---	---------------	---------------	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY					
		A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems - Biological Resources (continued):

<p>USS-11 <i>(continued from previous three pages)</i></p> <p>If monitoring reveals that success criteria are not being met, remedial habitat creation or restoration should be designed and implemented by a qualified biologist and subject to five years of monitoring as described above.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>(e) In lieu of developing a mitigation plan that outlines the avoidance, purchase, or creation of wetlands, FMFCD could purchase mitigation credits through a Corps approved Mitigation Bank.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	<p>[see Page 41]</p>	<p>[see Page 41]</p>	<p>California Department of Fish & Wildlife (CDFW) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)</p>	<p>X</p>
<p>USS-12: When FMFCD proposes to provide drainage service outside in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools:</p> <p>(a) During facility design and prior to initiation of ground disturbing activities in wetlands or vernal pools, FMFCD shall conduct a preliminary rare plant assessment. The assessment will determine the likelihood on whether or not the project site could support rare plants. If it is determined that the project site would not support rare plants, then no further</p> <p><i>(continued on next page)</i></p>		<p>During facility design and prior to initiation of ground disturbing activities in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools</p>		

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems - Biological Resources (continued):

<p>USS-12 (continued from previous page)</p> <p>action is required. However, if the project site has the potential to support rare plants; then a rare plant survey shall be conducted. Rare plant surveys shall be conducted by qualified biologists in accordance with the most current CDFG/USFWS guidelines or protocols and shall be conducted at the time of year when the plants in question are identifiable.</p> <p>(b) Based on the results of the survey, prior to design approval, FMFCD shall coordinate with CDFG and/or implement a Section 7 consultation with USFWS, shall determine whether the project facility would result in a significant impact to any special status plant species. Evaluation of project impacts shall consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The status of the species in question (e.g., officially listed by the State or Federal Endangered Species Acts). • The relative density and distribution of the on-site occurrence versus typical occurrences of the species in question. <p>(continued on next page)</p>	[see previous page]	[see previous page]	
--	---------------------	---------------------	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY					
		A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems - Biological Resources (continued):

<p>USS-13 (continued from previous page)</p> <p>(b) If potential habitat (vernal pools, seasonally inundated areas) or fairy shrimp exist within areas proposed to be disturbed, FMFCD shall complete the first and second phase of fairy shrimp presence or absence surveys. If an absence finding is determined and accepted by the USFWS, then no further mitigation shall be required for fairy shrimp.</p> <p>(c) If fairy shrimp are found to be present within vernal pools or other areas of inundation to be impacted by the implementation of storm drainage facilities, FMFCD shall mitigate impacts on fairy shrimp habitat in accordance with the USFWS requirements of the Programmatic Biological Opinion. This shall include on-site or off-site creation and/or preservation of fairy shrimp habitat at ratios ranging from 3:1 to 5:1 depending on the habitat impacted and the choice of on-site or off-site mitigation. Or mitigation shall be the purchase of mitigation credit through an accredited mitigation bank.</p>	<p>[see previous page]</p>	<p>[see previous page]</p>
<p>Verification comments:</p>		

Verification comments:

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems - Biological Resources (continued):

<p>USS-14: When FMFCD proposes to construct drainage facilities in an area where elderberry bushes may occur:</p> <p>(a) During facility design and prior to initiation of construction activities, FMFCD shall conduct a project-specific survey for all potential Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle (VELB) habitats (elderberry shrubs), including a stem count and an assessment of historic or current VELB habitat.</p> <p>(b) FMFCD shall avoid and protect all potential identified VELB habitat where feasible.</p> <p>(c) Where avoidance is infeasible, develop and implement a VELB mitigation plan in accordance with the most current USFWS mitigation guidelines for unavoidable take of VELB habitat pursuant to either Section 7 or Section 10(a) of the Federal Endangered Species Act. The mitigation plan shall include, but might not be limited to, relocation of elderberry shrubs, planting of elderberry shrubs, and monitoring of relocated and planted elderberry shrubs.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	During facility design and prior to initiation of construction activities	CDFW and USFWS						
								X

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems - Biological Resources (continued):

<p>USS-15: Prior to ground disturbing activities during nesting season (March through July) for a project that supports bird nesting habitat, FMFCD shall conduct a survey of trees. If nests are found during the survey, a qualified biologist shall assess the nesting activity on the project site. If active nests are located, no construction activities shall be allowed within 250 feet of the nest until the young have fledged. If construction activities are planned during the no n-breeding period (August through February), a nest survey is not necessary.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	Prior to ground disturbing activities during nesting season (March through July) for a project that supports bird nesting habitat	CDFW and USFWS						
								X
<p>USS-16: When FMFCD proposes to construct drainage facilities in an area that supports bird nesting habitat:</p> <p>(a) FMFCD shall conduct a pre-construction breeding-season survey (approximately February 1 through August 31) of proposed project sites in suitable habitat (levee and canal berms, open grasslands with suitable burrows) during the same calendar year that construction is planned to begin. If phased construction procedures are planned for the proposed project, the results of the above survey shall be valid only for the season when it is conducted.</p> <p><i>(continued on next page)</i></p>	Prior to ground disturbing activities during nesting season (March through July) for a project that supports bird nesting habitat	CDFW and USFWS						
								X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems - Biological Resources (continued):

<p>USS-16 (continued from previous page)</p> <p>(b) During the construction stage, FMFCD shall avoid all burrowing owl nest sites potentially disturbed by project construction during the breeding season while the nest is occupied with adults and/or young. The occupied nest site shall be monitored by a qualified biologist to determine when the nest is no longer used. Avoidance shall include the establishment of a 160-foot diameter non-disturbance buffer zone around the nest site. Disturbance of any nest sites shall only occur outside of the breeding season and when the nests are unoccupied based on monitoring by a qualified biologist. The buffer zone shall be delineated by highly visible temporary construction fencing.</p> <p>Based on approval by CDFG, pre-construction and pre-breeding season exclusion measures may be implemented to preclude burrowing owl occupation of the project site prior to project-related disturbance. Burrowing owls can be passively excluded from potential nest sites in the construction area, either by closing the burrows or placing one-way doors in the burrows according to current CDFG protocol. Burrows shall be examined not more than 30 days before construction to ensure that no owls have recolonized the area of construction.</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>	<p>[see previous page]</p>	<p>[see previous page]</p>	
---	----------------------------	----------------------------	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems - Biological Resources (continued):

USS-16 (continued from previous two pages) For each burrow destroyed, a new burrow shall be created (by installing artificial burrows at a ratio of 2:1 on protected lands nearby. Verification comments:	[see Page 49]	[see Page 49]						
USS-17: When FMFCD proposes to construct drainage facilities in the San Joaquin River corridor: (a) FMFCD shall not conduct instream activities in the San Joaquin River between October 15 and April 15. If this is not feasible, FMFCD shall consult with the National Marine Fisheries Service and CDFW on the appropriate measures to be implemented in order to protect listed salmonids in the San Joaquin River. (b) Riparian vegetation shading the main-channel that is removed or damaged shall be replaced at a ratio and quantity sufficient to maintain the existing shading of the channel. The location of replacement trees on or within <i>(continued on next page)</i>	During instream activities conducted between October 15 and April 15	National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), CDFW, and Central Valley Flood Protection Board (CVFPB)						

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated


C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. ANX-13-001/R-13-010/C-13-088

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY					
		A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems / Biological Resources (continued):

<p>USS-17 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>FMFCD berms, detention ponds or river channels shall be approved by FMFCD and the Central Valley Flood Protection Board.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	<p><i>[see previous page]</i></p>	<p><i>[see previous page]</i></p>	
---	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

Utilities and Service Systems – Recreation / Trails:

USS-18: When FMFCD updates its District Service Plan: Prior to final design approval of all elements of the District Services Plan, FMFCD shall consult with Fresno County, City of Fresno, and City of Clovis to determine if any element would temporarily disrupt or permanently displace existing or planned trails and associated recreational facilities as a result of the proposed District Services Plan. If the proposed project would not temporarily disrupt or permanently displace adopted existing or planned trails, no further mitigation is necessary. If the proposed project would have an effect on the trails and associated facilities, FMFCD shall implement the following: <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to final design approval of all elements of the District Services Plan	DARM, PW, City of Clovis, and County of Fresno				X
--	--	---	--	--	--	---

A - Incorporated
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY						
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems – Recreation / Trails (continued):

<p>USS-18 (continued from previous page)</p> <p>(a) If short-term disruption of adopted existing or planned trails and associated recreational facilities occur, FMFCD shall consult and coordinate with Fresno County, City of Fresno, and City of Clovis to temporarily re-route the trails and associated facilities.</p> <p>(b) If permanent displacement of the adopted existing or planned trails and associated recreational facilities occur, the appropriate design modifications to prevent permanent displacement shall be implemented in the final project design or FMFCD shall replace these facilities.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	[see previous page]	[see previous page]	
--	---------------------	---------------------	--

Utilities and Service Systems – Air Quality:

USS-19: When District drainage facilities are constructed, FMFCD shall: (a) Minimize idling time of construction equipment vehicles to no more than ten minutes, or require that engines be shut off when not in use. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	During storm water drainage facility construction activities	Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District and SJVAPCD	<table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></</td></tr></table>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							</
																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						</				

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY					
		A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems – Air Quality (continued):

USS-19 (continued from previous page)

- (b) Construction shall be curtailed as much as possible when the Air Quality Index (AQI) is above 150. AQI forecasts can be found on the SJVAPCD web site.
- (c) Off-road trucks should be equipped with on-road engines if possible.
- (d) Construction equipment should have engines that meet the current off-road engine emission standard (as certified by CARB), or be re-powered with an engine that meets this standard.

Verification comments:

[see previous
page]

[see previous
page]

MITIGATION MEASURE

**WHEN
IMPLEMENTED**

**COMPLIANCE
VERIFIED BY**

A

22

U

□

11

1

Utilities and Service Systems – Adequacy of Storm Water Drainage Facilities:

USS-20: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing storm water drainage facilities, the City shall coordinate with FMFCD to evaluate the storm water drainage system and shall not approve additional development that would convey additional storm water to a facility that would experience an exceedance of capacity until the necessary additional capacity is provided.

Verification comments:

Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing storm water drainage facilities

FMFCD, PW,
and DARM

X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A B C D E F					
			A	B	C	D	E	F

Utilities and Service Systems – Adequacy of Water Supply Capacity:

<p>USS-21: Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity, the City shall evaluate the water supply system and shall not approve additional development that demand additional water until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the City shall construct an approximately 25,000 AF/year tertiary recycled water expansion to the Fresno-Clovis Regional Wastewater Reclamation Facility in accordance with the 2013 Recycled Water Master Plan and the 2014 City of Fresno Metropolitan Water Resources Management Plan update.</p> <p>Implementation of Mitigation Measure USS-5 is also required prior to approximately the year 2025.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	<p>Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity</p>	<p>DPU and DARM</p>						
								X

Utilities and Service Systems – Adequacy of Landfill Capacity:

<p>USS-22: Prior to exceeding landfill capacity, the City shall evaluate additional landfill locations and shall not approve additional development that could contribute solid waste to a landfill that is at capacity until additional capacity is provided.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p>	<p>Prior to exceeding landfill capacity</p>	<p>DPU and DARM</p>						
								X

